

JOURNAL OF NUMBER THEORY 4, 269-273 (1972)

Representations by  $k$ -th Powers in  $GF(q)$ <sup>†</sup>

G. T. DIDERRICH AND H. B. MANN

*Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721*

Communicated August 3, 1970

A lower bound is computed for the number of elements of a finite field  $F$  represented by

$$a_1x_1^k + \cdots + a_lx_l^k,$$

where  $a_i \neq 0$  are fixed elements of  $F$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_l)$  varies over  $F^l$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we estimate the number of elements represented by a form  $a_1x_1^k + \cdots + a_lx_l^k$ ,  $a_i \neq 0$  in a finite field  $GF(q)$ . In particular, we prove a generalization of a theorem of Chowla, Mann, and Strauss (cf. [1] and Theorem 2.1 of [2]). We shall use the terminology and notation of [2].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $GF(q)$  be a finite field where  $q = p^n$  and  $p$  is a prime. Let  $k$  be a positive integer and set  $k_1 = \text{g.c.d.}(k, q-1)$  and  $s = (q-1)/k_1$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_l$  be  $l$  nonzero elements of  $GF(q)$  and let  $Y$  be the set of all elements in  $GF(q)$  represented by the form  $a_1x_1^k + \cdots + a_lx_l^k$ . If  $k_1 \leq p$  and  $k_1 < (q-1)/2$ , then either  $Y = GF(q)$  or  $|Y| \geq (2l-1)s + 1$ .*

**COROLLARY** *If  $l \geq (k_1 + 1)/2$ , then every element of  $GF(q)$  can be represented by the form  $a_1x_1^k + \cdots + a_lx_l^k$ .*

Theorem 1 and the corollary follow from J. H. B. Kemperman's generalization of Vosper's theorem (c.f. Theorem 5.1 of [3] and Theorem 1.3 of [2]) and from simple extensions to Lemmas 2.1.1, 2.1.2, and 2.1.3 of [2].

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

If  $A, B$  are nonempty subsets of an Abelian group  $G$  (written additively), we denote by  $A + B$  the set of sums  $a + b$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . We denote

<sup>†</sup> Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DA-31-124-ARO-D-462.

by  $H(A)$  the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of all elements  $g \in G$  for which  $g + A = A$ . The set  $A$  is called periodic with period  $H(A)$ , if  $H(A) \neq \{0\}$ , otherwise it is called aperiodic.

DEFINITION. A subset  $B$  of  $G$  is called quasi-periodic with a quasi-period  $F$ , if there exists a subgroup  $F$  of  $G$  of size  $|F| \geq 2$  and two subsets  $B'$  and  $B_1$  of  $B$  satisfying the conditions:

- (i)  $B = B' \cup B_1$ , and  $B' \cap B_1 = \emptyset$ ,
- (ii)  $B' \neq \emptyset$  and  $B' + F = B'$ ,
- (ii)  $B_1 \leq b_0 + F$  where  $b_0 \in B_1$ .

$B'$  is called the periodic part of  $B$ , and  $B_1$  is called the residual  $F$ -coset of  $B$  (cf. Sections 1 and 2. of [3]).

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$  be an aperiodic set of elements of  $GF(p^n)$ ,  $p > 3$  with  $s > 1$ ,  $s \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , and  $\sum x_i = \sum x_i^2 = 0$  (sum over  $X$ ). Then

(a)  $X$  is not in arithmetic progression.

(b) If  $X$  is quasi-periodic with residual set  $X_1$  of size  $|X_1| > 1$ , then  $X_1$  is not in arithmetic progression.

Proof. For (a), assume  $X$  is in arithmetic progression with difference  $d \neq 0$ ,  $X = \{a_0, a_0 + d, \dots, a_0 + (s-1)d\}$ . The condition  $\sum x_i = 0$  implies  $\sum a_0 + (i-1)d \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , hence  $a_0 \equiv d(s-1)/2 \pmod{p}$ . The condition  $\sum x_i^2 = 0$  gives

$$\sum (a_0 + (i-1)d)^2 \equiv d^2 \left( \frac{(2s-1)s(s-1)}{2 \cdot 3} - \frac{s(s-1)^2}{2} + \frac{s(s-1)}{2^2} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (1)$$

Since  $X$  is aperiodic we have  $s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

Simplifying (1) gives  $s+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , a contradiction. For (b) assume  $X$  is quasi-periodic with residual subset  $X_1$ . Observe that  $\sum x_i = \sum x_i^2 = 0$  over the periodic part of  $X$ , therefore  $\sum x_i = \sum x_i^2 = 0$  over  $X_1$ . Applying (a) gives (b).

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $k$  be a positive integer satisfying  $\text{g.c.d.}(2k, q-1) < q-1$ , then the nonzero  $k$ -th powers  $x_1, \dots, x_s$  in  $GF(q)$  satisfy  $\sum x_i = \sum x_i^2 = 0$ . Further, let  $a_1, \dots, a_l$  be  $l$  nonzero elements of  $Gf(q)$  and let

$$Y = \{y \mid y = a_1 x_1^k + \dots + a_l x_l^k\}, \quad \text{then} \quad \sum_{y \in Y} y = \sum_{y \in Y} y^2 = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be a primitive root of  $GF(q)$ . The group generated by  $w^k$  consists of the nonzero  $k$ -th powers. The order of  $w^{2k}$  is

$$\frac{q-1}{\text{g.c.d.}(2k, q-1)}.$$

The hypothesis of this lemma implies  $w^{2k} \neq 1$ , hence  $w^k \neq 1$ . Now  $(\sum x_i) w^k = \sum x_i$ , therefore  $\sum x_i = 0$ . Similarly we show  $\sum x_i^2 = 0$ . For the second part, note  $Yw^k = Y$ , then apply the same argument.

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_l$  be  $l$  nonzero elements of  $GF(q)$  and let  $Y = \{y \mid y = a_1x_1^k + \dots + a_lx_l^k\}$ . Set  $k_1 = \text{g.c.d.}(k, q-1)$ , then  $|Y| \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$  where  $s = (q-1)/k_1$ . Furthermore, if  $k_1 > 1$  and  $Y$  is periodic, then  $|H(Y)| > q/k_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be the multiplicative group of nonzero  $k$ -th powers in  $GF(q)$ . Then the group  $X$  operates on  $Y$  by multiplication. Since the isotropy group is  $\{1\}$  for each nonzero orbit  $yX$ , it follows that all the orbits are of the same size  $|X| = s$  (cf. pp. 19–23 of [4]). This implies  $|Y| \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$ .

For the second part, let  $H = H(Y)$  and let  $h$  be a nonzero element of  $H$ . Now  $YX = Y$  and  $h + Y = Y$ , therefore

$$(Y + h)X = YX + hX = Y + hX = Y,$$

hence  $hX \subseteq H$ . Thus  $|H| \geq 1 + s$ , but

$$s = \frac{q-1}{k_1} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + \frac{q-1}{k_1} > \frac{q}{k_1} \quad \text{if } k_1 > 1.$$

We can prove Theorem 1 by induction on  $l$ . For  $l = 1$  the statement is obviously true. Assume  $l \geq 2$ , let  $A = \{y \mid y = a_1x_1^k + \dots + a_{l-1}x_{l-1}^k\}$  and let  $B = \{y \mid y = a_lx_l^k\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &\geq \min(q, (2l-3)s + 1), \\ |B| &= s + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

If  $C = A + B$  is periodic, Lemma 2.3 implies  $|H(C)| > q/k_1$ ; however,  $k_1 \leq p$ ; therefore,  $C = GF(q)$ . Thus we may assume  $C$  is aperiodic; then by Kneser's Theorem (cf. Theorem 1.5 of [2] and Theorem 3.1 of [3]), we have

$$|C| \geq |A| + |B| - 1. \tag{3}$$

If  $|C| = |A| + |B| - 1$ , then by Kemperman's Theorem 5.1 [3] we conclude:

- (a) Either  $(A, B)$  is an elementary pair, or
- (b)  $(A_1, B_1)$  is an elementary pair where both  $A, B$  are quasi-periodic with the same quasi-period  $F$  and  $A_1, B_1$  denote the residual  $F$ -cosets of  $A, B$ , respectively. We shall prove that neither  $(A, B)$  nor  $(A_1, B_1)$  is an elementary pair of type I, II, III, or IV of [3, Section 5].

For the type I possibility (using Lemma 2.3), we have an equation of the form

$$1 + Lp^m = 1 + T \left( \frac{p^n - 1}{k_1} \right), \quad 1 \leq m < n, \quad L \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad T \geq 1. \quad (4)$$

Therefore,  $p^m \mid T$ , since  $p^m \nmid (p^n - 1)/k_1$ . Hence  $T \geq p$  and since  $k_1 \leq p$ , this gives  $1 + T(p^n - 1)/k_1 \geq p^n$ , a contradiction because  $A + B$  is aperiodic.

For the type II possibility, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 imply if either  $B$  or  $B_1$  is in arithmetic progression their size must equal  $p - 1$ . However, since neither  $|A| = 1$  nor  $|A_1| = 1$ , it follows that  $A + B$  must be periodic, a contradiction.

The type III possibility is ruled out since we are assuming  $A + B$  is aperiodic.

The type IV possibility implies that  $C = A + B$  is a union of  $F$ -cosets plus one residual  $F$ -coset  $C_1$  which can be obtained from  $c_0 + F$  by deleting  $c_0$ . Therefore  $c_0 \notin C$ ; however, by Lemma 2.2 we have  $\sum c_i = 0$  (sum over  $c$ ) and  $c_0 + \sum c_i = 0$  because we are summing over full  $F$ -cosets. Therefore  $c_0 = 0$ , a contradiction.

Therefore in (3) we must have

$$|C| \geq |A| + |B|$$

and

$$|C| \geq (2l - 2)s + 2.$$

Finally, Lemma 2.3 implies

$$|C| \geq (2l - 1)s + 1,$$

completing the proof.

The corollary follows since  $l \geq (k_1 + 1)/2$  implies  $(2l - 1)s + 1 \geq q$ .

*Remark.* If  $k_1 > p$ , then the  $k$ -th powers can lie in a proper subfield of  $GF(q)$ ; e.g., take  $k = (q - 1)/(p - 1)$  then all the  $k$ -th powers reside

in the prime field of  $GF(q)$ ; thus to obtain a theorem analogous to Theorem 1, one must put restrictions on the coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_l$ .

Also note that in Theorem 1 the condition  $k_1 < (q - 1)/2$  is necessary to obtain the bound  $(2l - 1)s + 1$  because  $2k_1 = q - 1$  implies the  $k$ -th powers are in arithmetic progression with difference 1, i.e.,  $-1, 0, 1$ , and application of Kneser's Theorem gives only the bound  $ls + 1$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. S. CHOWLA, H. B. MANN AND E. G. STRAUS, Some applications of the Cauchy-Davenport theorem, *Norske Vid. Selsk. Forh. Trondheim* 35 (1959), 74-80.
2. H. B. MANN, Addition theorems, in "The Addition Theorems of Group Theory and Number Theory," Interscience, New York, 1965.
3. J. H. B. KEMPERMAN, On small sumsets in an Abelian group, *Acta Math.* 103 (1960), 66-88.
4. S. LANG, "Algebra," Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1965.